1 Demonology of the Pastoral and Catholic Epistles: false teaching, conscience and the struggle for sound faith

1.1 Abstract

The study analyses the way in which the Pastoral and Catholic Epistles connect false teaching with spiritual deception, the dulling of conscience, and practical consequences for community life. It also shows that the New Testament authors do not reduce error to a simple demonic action: they recognize human pride, greed, ignorance, lust, and a taste for controversy. The center of the response is sound teaching received in faith, love, prayer, gratitude for creation, and patient, gentle guidance toward repentance.
Keywords: Pastoral Epistles, Catholic Epistles, false teaching, conscience, sound teaching, deception, spiritual discernment, 1 Tm 4, 2 Tm 2, 2 P 2.

1.2 Introduction

In the Pastoral and Catholic Epistles we encounter a clear assumption: behind some forms of religious deception stands a demonic reality. False teaching is not here a neutral academic mistake; it can deform the image of God, separate from Christ, dull the conscience, justify greed and licentiousness, break the community, lead to apostasy and subject a person to the "snares of the devil." At the same time the New Testament authors are aware of many human causes of deception: ignorance, pride, lust, greed, ambition, false asceticism, a love of disputation, and hardness of conscience. In other words, false teaching can function as an instrument of demonic deception when it distorts the truth about Christ, destroys conscience, justifies sin, and severs the community from the apostolic Gospel; protection against it is not so much correctness of formulas as "sound teaching" received in a pure heart, a good conscience, sincere faith, prayer, love and obedience to Christ. Correction of error must therefore remain truthful, patient and oriented toward repentance.

1.3 1. Terminological remark: "heresy" in the New Testament

The Polish word "herezja" today has a precise, dogmatic meaning — the persistent denial of revealed truth that the Church obliges the faithful to accept. The Greek term αἵρεσις — hairesis originally meant choice, direction, school, party, sect or faction. In 2 P 2,1 the expression haireseis apōleias — "destructive sects", "destroying teachings", "sects leading to perdition" occurs — and it is from texts of this kind that the later technical notion of heresy grew. However, this concept cannot be simply projected back onto all NT texts. The Pastoral Epistles more often operate with less technical concepts: heterodidaskalein — teaching something different; pseudōnymos gnōsis — "knowledge falsely so called"; myths and genealogies; sick or unhealthy teaching; departure from the truth; denial of Christ; error spreading like gangrene. Consequently not every exegetical or theological difference is here "heresy" in the later sense; New Testament polemic concerns primarily errors that strike at the center of the Gospel and bring destructive moral and communal fruits.

1.4 2. The basic text: 1 Tm 4,1–5

The quoted text reads:

“Duch zaś wyraźnie mówi, że w późniejszych czasach niektórzy odpadną od wiary, skłaniając się ku duchom zwodniczym i naukom demonów, przez obłudę kłamców, którzy mają napiętnowane własne sumienie. Zabraniają oni wstępować w związki małżeńskie i nakazują powstrzymywać się od pokarmów, które Bóg stworzył, aby wierzący i poznający prawdę przyjmowali je z dziękczynieniem”.
This text displays a sequence of stages of deception, which we analyze below.

1.5 3. The Holy Spirit in relation to deceptive spirits

The Greek verse begins with the words To de Pneuma rhētōs legei — “Duch zaś wyraźnie mówi” (The Spirit clearly says), and then mentions pneumata plana — “duchy zwodnicze” (deceptive spirits). The author deliberately juxtaposes two orders: the Holy Spirit, who speaks the truth, and deceptive spirits, which corrupt. This contrast can be formulated in pairs: the Holy Spirit speaks truth, warns, leads to gratitude, builds faith, sanctifies and directs toward Christ; deceptive spirits deform truth, entice, lead to contempt for creation, to apostasy, dull the conscience and replace Christ with a system of prohibitions.
From this follows a clear conclusion: Christian doctrinal discernment is part of discernment of spirits. Teaching does not exist in a spiritual vacuum; every theology shapes a person’s relation to God, the body, creation, conscience and the community.

1.6 4. “In later times”

The expression en hysterois kairois does not have to mean exclusively the last few years before the parousia. The New Testament perceives the life of the Church as part of the eschatological times begun by the death and resurrection of Christ. The author does not speak only of a distant future; in the surrounding verses he describes teachings already threatening the community. The eschatological character of deception means that with the revelation of truth in Christ there also appears resistance to it — the “later times” are a period of testing fidelity, not of abandonment of God’s rule.

1.7 5. “They will depart from the faith”

The verb ἀφίστημι — aphistēmi carries meanings of withdrawal, defection or breaking off a bond; from it comes the noun apostasia. The author does not describe here persons who never heard the Gospel, but people departing from tēs pisteōs — “the faith”, understood simultaneously as trust in Christ, belonging to the community, the content of apostolic preaching and a way of life corresponding to the Gospel. Apostasy is therefore a rupture of the living bond between professed truth, conscience and obedience to God, not merely a change of opinions.

1.8 6. “Paying attention to deceptive spirits”

The verb προσέχω — prosechō signifies paying attention, attaching oneself, devoting the mind to something. The same verb appears in 1 Tm 1,4 in the warning against being occupied with myths and endless genealogies. Deception begins with attention — with someone beginning to listen to a certain narrative, repeatedly return to it and gradually accept it as the proper way to interpret God and the world. Pneumata plana — “duchy zwodnicze” — do not have to act by overt blasphemy; their basic tool is planē: wandering, leading astray, seduction by the appearance of truth. The most dangerous error presents itself not as rebellion against God but as “deeper knowledge,” “higher spirituality,” stricter morality or exceptional revelation — something that is supposed to free from ordinary humility and submission to apostolic teaching.

1.9 7. “Teachings of demons” — didaskaliai daimoniōn

The expression διδασκαλίαι δαιμονίων — didaskaliai daimoniōn can grammatically be read as teachings originating from demons, teachings inspired by demons or — less likely in this context — teachings concerning demons. The context favors the first two meanings: this is not systematic demonology but doctrines whose spiritual source or direction is demonic.

The author does not assume that a demon utters teaching directly; alongside the spiritual dimension there is a human dimension: en hypokrisei pseudologōn — “through the hypocrisy of liars.” The structure is therefore double: the source spiritual dimension — deceptive spirits — and the historical human dimension — teachers who proclaim and organize error. Man remains responsible and cannot justify false teaching merely by claiming he was an instrument of a spiritual power.

1.10 8. A conscience “seared” or “burnt”

False teachers are described by the words kekaustēriasmenōn tēn idian syneidēsin — “their own conscience seared/burnt.” The verb kaustēriazō may refer to the act of branding a mark, marking a slave or criminal, cauterizing tissue or the loss of feeling as a result of cauterization. Two interpretations do not exclude each other:

- a conscience marked by evil — falsehood has left a stigma on the person; the teacher bears the sign of what he serves;
- a dulled conscience — repeated falsehood has weakened the capacity for moral feeling; the person no longer reacts to falsehood that once would have provoked opposition.

This is significant: demonic deception does not limit itself to introducing a false conviction into the mind; it changes the functioning of conscience. A person begins to call one thing by the name of another: to call evil good, violence zeal, pride orthodoxy, disdain holiness, manipulation pastoral care, control spiritual obedience.

1.11 9. The content of the error: marriage and foods

False teachers in 1 Tim prohibit entering into marriages and command abstaining from certain foods. We do not know precisely who those teachers were; attempts to identify them unequivocally as “gnostics” are premature, because elaborate gnostic systems are known primarily from the second century. Possible elements at play include radical asceticism, dualism regarding the body, certain Jewish speculations, local syncretism, or the conviction that corporeality hinders higher knowledge.

Most important, however, is the author’s response: he does not oppose false asceticism with an even stricter asceticism, but proposes a theology of creation: “For everything created by God is good.” The antidote to the demonic teaching consists in faith in the goodness of the Creator, the reception of creation with gratitude, the Word of God and prayer. Contemporary exegesis rightly notes that 1 Tim 4:4–5 responds to the attractiveness of false asceticism with a theology of creation, not merely with polemical condemnation of the teachers (Cambridge, commentary on 1 Tim).

Important here is the warning against the paradox of false holiness: “the teaching of demons” does not always preach licentiousness; in 1 Tim 4 it takes the form of religious rigorism. Asceticism becomes false when it despises creation, makes the body the source of evil, replaces grace with a system of prohibitions, builds a sense of superiority, makes salvation dependent on practices not instituted by Christ, and enslaves

consciences. A demon can hide both under the pretense of freedom from norms and under extraordinary severity.

1.12 10. "Healthy teaching" and the disease of doctrine

The Pastoral Letters repeatedly appeal to hygiainousa didaskalia — "healthy teaching." The verb hygiainō means to be healthy; apostolic teaching is "healthy" because it leads the person and the community toward integrity. The author consistently uses a medical metaphor: healthy teaching nourishes, orders the conscience, builds love, leads to piety, preserves in truth and restores sobriety; false teaching poisons, numbs the conscience, breeds quarrels, serves gain, spreads like gangrene and intoxicates and enslaves.
In 1 Tim 6:4 the false teacher is described as nosōn peri zētēseis kai logomachias — "sick about speculations and contentious word-fighting." Not every intense theological discussion is a sign of care for the truth; dispute can become a passion, and a person — instead of seeking truth — needs an opponent over whom he will achieve rhetorical victory.

1.13 11. The gangrene of false teaching — 2 Tim 2:16–18

In 2 Tim 2:16–18 the author warns against Hymenaeus and Philetus: "Their teaching will spread like gangrene." The Greek gangraina describes a disease that destroys tissue and spreads to the healthy parts of the body. The specific error of Hymenaeus and Philetus consisted in the claim that "the resurrection has already occurred" — they probably reduced eschatology to a spiritual experience, to baptism or to knowledge, rejecting a future bodily resurrection. This error was dangerous because it undermined the bodily dimension of salvation and closed off eschatological future.

1.14 12. "Sobering up" from the snares of the devil — 2 Tim 2:24–26

In one of the key passages of the Pastoral Letters we read the injunction addressed to the servant of the Lord: "The servant of the Lord must not quarrel but be gentle toward all, able to teach, patient in suffering. He must gently instruct his opponents, in the hope that God may grant them repentance leading to a knowledge of the truth, and that they may come to their senses, escaping from the snares of the devil" (2 Tim 2:24–26).
Ananēphō — to regain sobriety
The verb ἀνανήφω — ananēphō means "to sober up, to come to one's senses, to regain clarity of mind" and is related to the previously discussed nēphō — "to be sober." The error is here presented as a state similar to intoxication: the person has the capacity for reasoning, yet his judgment is distorted by the influence of falsehood. Exiting this state requires regaining spiritual sobriety through truth and grace.
The snares of the devil — ek tēs tou diabolou pagidos "from the snares of the devil"
The noun pagis denotes a trap or snare; the nature of the trap is that the victim does not recognize it as a threat, seeing only the bait. The bait of false teaching can include, among others: the promise of exceptional knowledge; a sense of moral superiority; freedom from the demanding requirements of the Gospel; the charisma of the teacher; membership in an elite group; a simple explanation for all problems; absolute certainty where humility is required; the possibility of justifying one's own desires.
"Taken alive"
The verb zōgreō (according to the source text: zōgreō) means "to capture alive." The image of a person entangled in deception does not present him as a moral automaton but as a captive who can be freed. The final Greek construction is ambiguous: it may suggest that people were captured by the devil to

perform his will, or that after release they may return to performing the will of God. Both readings contain simultaneously bondage and the possibility of repentance.

1.15 13. The method of freeing from error

Surprising is what the author does not recommend: he does not instruct Timothy to perform exorcisms over every false teacher. Instead he commands maintaining a pastoral and didactic posture: avoid quarrels; preserve gentleness; be able to teach; patiently endure opposition; correct opponents; expect that God will grant repentance; lead them to knowledge of the truth.

These recommendations have significant theological meaning. Although the author recognizes the action of the devil behind the error, he continues to treat the person as a subject capable of listening, understanding and penance. Liberation from doctrinal deception thus occurs through the grace of God, repentance, truth, patient teaching and the recovery of spiritual sobriety. Aggressive polemic can itself reproduce the opponent's logic; "the truth is not defended by the methods of the devil."

1.16 14. Hymenaeus and Alexander "handed over to Satan"

In 1 Tim 1:19–20 the author sets forth an example of severe but purposeful discipline: "Some, having rejected a good conscience, have suffered shipwreck in regard to the faith. Among them are Hymenaeus and Alexander, whom I have handed over to Satan so that they may learn not to blaspheme." The expression "handed over to Satan" probably refers to exclusion from the community (cf. 1 Cor 5:5). The Church is here presented as the sphere of a peculiar action of Christ, while the external world — as the space in which "the ruler of this world" operates. This is not a magical curse, but a pedagogical purpose of the punishment: "so that they may learn not to blaspheme." Even severe discipline is meant to lead to repentance; the author does not say "handed over to destruction" but "handed over, that they might be taught through the painful consequences of their own decisions."

1.16.1 Faith and conscience

The text links "shipwreck in regard to the faith" with the rejection of a good conscience. Heresy does not always begin with a mistaken theory; often a moral compromise appears first, and then a doctrine is created to justify the earlier choice. The model of the process can be sketched as follows:

- a person desires something contrary to the Gospel;
- conscience resists;
- the person rejects the voice of conscience;
- he seeks an interpretation that will justify his choice;
- he accepts or invents false teaching;
- he begins to proclaim it to others.

In this dynamic doctrine often functions as a rationalization of passion.

1.17 15. Pride as a snare of the devil — 1 Tim 3:6–7

A candidate for an overseer should be mature: he must not be "a recent convert, lest being puffed up with conceit he fall into the condemnation of the devil" (1 Tim 3:6–7), and at the same time he should enjoy a good reputation with those outside the community: "so that he may not fall into reproach and the snare of the devil." The demonological "protection" of the Church thus appears in prudent rules for choosing leaders.

Charisma, rhetorical ability, extraordinary experience, declared orthodoxy or quick pastoral success are not sufficient. A leader lacking maturity can be enslaved by pride — in such a case even the defense of the truth can become a tool for building one's own position.

1.18 16. The aim of right teaching — 1 Tim 1:5

The main program of the Pastoral Letters is formulated in the words: "Now the aim of the command is love that comes from a pure heart, a good conscience, and sincere faith" (1 Tim 1:5). This sentence defines what true purity of faith is:

- pure heart — ordered desires;
- good conscience — moral clarity;
- sincere faith — authentic trust in Christ;
- love — the ultimate goal of teaching.

Orthodoxy that does not lead to love does not achieve its goal. One can correctly repeat doctrinal formulas and at the same time be driven by pride, envy and a desire for domination. In 1 Tim 3:9 deacons are to hold "the mystery of the faith with a clear conscience" — the truth of faith and the purity of conscience are not two independent realities.

1.19 17. List do Tytusa: umysł i sumienie skażone

Tt 1,15–16 warns against people "to whom both the mind and the conscience are defiled. They profess to know God, but by their works they deny him." We receive here a double criterion of discernment: confession and practice. A person may proclaim that he knows God and at the same time deny Him in the way of life; heresy may have a practical dimension before it becomes formally dogmatic.

False teaching is recognized not only by erroneous formulas but by the fruits it produces: does it lead to good; does it cleanse the conscience; does it build up the community; does it strengthen the truth; does the teacher himself submit to the demands he imposes on others.

1.20 18. List Jakuba: „mądrość demoniczna"

James describes people driven by bitter jealousy and selfish ambition: "This is not the wisdom that comes down from above, but is earthly, unspiritual, demonic. For where jealousy and selfish ambition exist, there will be disorder and every vile practice" (Jk 3,14–16). The Greek adjective δαιμονιώδης — daimoniōdēs means "demonic, proper to demons or under demonic influence."

James does not begin with a catalogue of spiritual beings, but with human motives: jealousy, selfish ambition, boasting, falsifying the truth. Only the analysis of their fruits — disorder, division, bad deeds — allows one to designate this "wisdom" as demonic. This warning protects against a naive separation of human and spiritual causes: the same reality can be at once earthly (closed in a temporal perspective), psychikē (not submitted to the Spirit) and demonic (consonant with the logic of spirits opposed to God).

The demonic nature of teaching is recognized not only by its content but also by the kind of community it creates. Where teaching systematically breeds envy, a struggle for significance and the disintegration of community, one must ask about its spiritual source.

1.21 19. „Poddajcie się Bogu, przeciwstawcie diabłu" — Jk 4,7

James calls: "Submit yourselves therefore to God. Resist the devil, and he will flee from you" (Jk 4,7). The context is wars and quarrels in the community, desires, jealousy, improper prayer, friendship with

the world and pride. Resistance to the devil here is not reduced to a ritual confrontation but to concrete moral life: submission to God, cleansing of hands and heart, humility and the abandonment of groundless judgment of a brother. James again shows that demonic influence can be realized through ordinary passions; spiritual struggle is therefore not an escape from moral responsibility.

1.22 20. Pierwszy List Jana: „Nie dowierzajcie każdemu duchowi"

John warns: "Beloved, do not believe every spirit, but test the spirits to see whether they are from God, for many false prophets have gone out into the world" (1 J 4,1). The author combines the invisible and visible dimensions in the diagnosis of threats:

- invisible dimension — spirit;
- visible dimension — prophet;
- invisible inspiration — visible teaching;
- spirit of truth — apostolic confession;
- spirit of error — false christology;
- Spirit of God — love and obedience;
- spirit of antichrist — denial of the incarnation.

John does not command testing spirits by identifying their names or place in a spiritual hierarchy; he proposes Christological, apostolic and moral criteria.

1.23 21. Kryterium wcielenia

"Every spirit that confesses that Jesus Christ has come in the flesh is from God. Every spirit that does not confess Jesus is not from God" (1 J 4,2–3). The Greek form Iēsoun Christon en sarki elēlythota emphasizes the real coming of Jesus Christ "in the flesh." It is not merely the statement that someone named Jesus had a body, but the unity: the historical Jesus, the Christ, the Son of God, true incarnation, saving death and the enduring significance of His humanity. False teachers probably separated the earthly Jesus and the heavenly Christ or weakened the reality of the incarnation; various historical reconstructions of the problem do not change the essence of the danger: denial of the incarnation impacts the whole Christian life. Why is denial of the incarnation spiritually dangerous? Because if the Son did not actually come in the flesh, then:

- matter is not embraced by salvation;
- the cross loses its reality;
- the suffering of Jesus becomes a pretense;
- love need not express itself in concrete deed;
- the body may be despised or treated as morally insignificant;
- the community is separated from the historical apostolic testimony.

Thus erroneous christology leads to erroneous anthropology and ethics.

1.24 22. Duch prawdy i duch błędu

1 J 4,6 contrasts Pneuma tēs alētheias — "Spirit of truth" and to pneuma tēs planēs — "spirit of error/deception." Recognition is effected, among other things, through the relation to apostolic testimony: "He who knows God listens to us." This is not a demand for blind obedience to every leader; "us" refers to the apostolic witnesses handing on the Gospel about Jesus Christ. The ecclesial office has no right to create arbitrary truth — it itself is subject to the apostolic Gospel.

1.25 23. „Antychryści" w liczbie mnogiej

John states: "Now many antichrists have come" (1 J 2,18), emphasizing that the antichrist is not only a single future eschatological figure; already in his time there are people rejecting the truth about the Father and the Son. This diagnosis has pastoral consequences: it is not enough to point to abstract threats — one must recognize and correct concrete doctrinal and moral distortions in the life of the community, always however in a spirit of truth, patience and hope for conversion.

1.26 24. Love as a Test of Faithfulness

In the First Letter of John christological truth and brotherly love are inseparable: a true confession of Jesus cannot be merely an intellectual formula but must permeate the life of the community and conscience. John makes sharp distinctions: whoever claims to know God and does not keep the commandments is a liar; whoever abides in the light and hates his brother remains in darkness; whoever proclaims the love of God and hates his brother lies; whoever has material goods and closes his heart to the one in need does not have the love of God in him. Confessional faith therefore must have an existential dimension — the Spirit of truth leads simultaneously to a true confession of Christ, obedience to the commandments, brotherly love, perseverance in the community and victory over the logic of the world.

1.27 25. Drugi List Jana: nie udzielać błędowi platformy

In 2 J 7 the author warns against "many deceivers" who do not confess the coming of Jesus Christ in the flesh, and commands not to receive such a teacher "into the house" nor to give him a greeting. One must, however, avoid a mechanical understanding of this injunction as a ban on ordinary courtesy toward people with different convictions. In the ancient context the "house" served the function of church gatherings, a place for receiving itinerant teachers, financing their mission and giving public recommendation. "Receiving into the house" could therefore mean granting a false teacher an ecclesial platform and authorizing his activity. Christian love does not require providing every teaching with access to the pulpit; hospitality must not serve the propagation of deception.

1.28 26. Drugi List Piotra: „zgubne herezje"

The description of false teachers in 2 P 2,1–3 shows that their activity is complex: they secretly introduce destructive heresies, deny the Master, lead others to licentiousness, cause blasphemy against "the way of truth," exploit people through greed and fabricated stories. The author shows that teaching, morality and economy are interconnected — the false teacher not only proclaims error but builds a system that legitimizes his way of life, gives him power over listeners, brings financial gain, promises freedom and ultimately leads to a new slavery. In 2 P 2,19 we read one of the strongest descriptions of spiritual enslavement: "For to whom someone has yielded, to him he has been enslaved." Error becomes bondage because it subordinates a person to that which had apparently promised freedom. Not every teaching that speaks of "liberation" comes from the Holy Spirit; one must ask: from what is it to liberate, where does it lead, whom does it empower, what fruits does it bring, and does the one proclaiming freedom himself live in freedom from greed, pride and passions.

1.29 27. False teachers and fallen angels

2 Peter 2:4 and Jude 6 appeal to a tradition about angels who sinned and have been kept for judgment; these texts remain under the influence of Jewish traditions interpreting Gen 6:1–4, although the manner

of their use is debated. The primary aim of the argument is clear: if even rebellious heavenly beings did not escape judgment, all the more false teachers cannot consider themselves unpunished. Jude and 2 Peter do not encourage speculation about the names or hierarchies of the fallen angels; on the contrary, they criticize people who speak arrogantly about the "Glories," that is probably heavenly beings. Even Michael the Archangel in his dispute with the devil does not pronounce an arrogant judgment, but says: "The Lord rebuke you" (Jude 9). The text is a warning against spiritual audacity: true orthodoxy does not give the right to an arrogant handling of the spiritual reality. An analysis of the relation of the sin of the angels to the polemic against false teachers indicates that the motive of deception can be usurpation and the transgression of established boundaries (cf. "The Sin of the Angels in 2 Peter 2:4 and Jude 6", Journal of Biblical Literature).

1.30 28. The Letter of Jude: the perversion of grace

Jude describes those who "turn the grace of our God into licentiousness and deny our only Master and Lord, Jesus Christ." The error here does not consist in the rejection of the concept of grace, but in its reinterpretation: the concept is retained, but perverted, used to justify a life contrary to the Gospel. This is a typical mechanism of deception: mercy separated from conversion, freedom from responsibility, Spirit from incarnation, charism from discernment, authority from service, obedience from conscience, truth from love. The most dangerous errors often do not directly deny the truth; they retain its name but shift its meaning.

1.31 29. "Psychical people, not having the Spirit"

In Jude 19 the term psychikoi, Pneuma mē echontes appears — "sensual/natural, not having the Spirit." This does not mean that such people lack a soul, but characterizes their life as limited to the natural dimension, not guided by the Spirit of God. They may appeal to dreams, revelations, spiritual experiences, or exceptional knowledge, yet their life reveals the lack of the Spirit: they cause divisions, yield to passions, reject Christ's lordship, and speak arrogantly about a reality they do not understand. For this reason, an extraordinary experience is not in itself proof of origin from the Holy Spirit.

1.32 30. Jude's response: faith, Spirit, and mercy

Jude does not end merely with condemnation: he calls believers to build themselves up "in the most holy faith," to pray in the Holy Spirit, to keep themselves in the love of God and to wait for the mercy of Christ; he also urges showing mercy to those who doubt, saving others "from the fire," and practicing mercy toward some with fear. Protection against deception here has four clear pillars:

- apostolic faith — roots in truth;
- prayer in the Spirit — maintains a living relationship with God;
- the love of God — protects against polemical pride;
- mercy — enables the rescue of the deceived.

The struggle for "the faith once for all delivered to the saints" does not mean a fight against people; its goal is salvific: to rescue the person from falsehood. Correction must remain truthful, patient, and oriented toward conversion.

1.33 31. A common model of deception

Analysis of the Pastoral Epistles and Catholic Epistles allows the reconstruction of a recurring structure of deception, which connects spiritual, human, intellectual, and moral and communal consequences. The schema can be presented in the following categories:

- Spiritual source: deceptive spirits, the devil, spirit of the antichrist, demonic wisdom.
- Human susceptibility: pride, greed, lust, envy, desire for significance, fear, need for secret knowledge, rejection of a good conscience.
- Intellectual instrument: myth, false interpretation, speculation, perversion of grace, denial of the Incarnation, shifting the resurrection exclusively into the past, false asceticism, the promise of unlimited freedom.
- Moral effect: seared conscience, licentiousness or legalism, avarice, domination, hypocrisy, pride.
- Communal effect: quarrels, envy, divisions, exploitation of the weak, loss of love, departure from the apostolic community.
- Spiritual effect: loss of sobriety, the snares of the devil, bondage, denial of Christ, falling away from faith.

This model emphasizes that deception has both spiritual and human causes; therefore the pastoral response must take into account both dimensions.

1.34 32. How does the "purity of faith" protect against demonic influence?

Purity of faith in the New Testament is not a magical shield arising from possession of a correct theological formula; it comprises several inseparable dimensions, each of which has its protective effect:

1. True Christology — the confession of Jesus Christ who truly came in the flesh, died, and rose. It protects against contempt for the body, false spiritualism, the separation of Jesus and Christ, and the replacement of the Incarnate One by a secret idea.
2. Fidelity to creation — receiving with thanksgiving the goodness of the created world. It protects against dualism, false asceticism, the demonization of matter, and contempt for marriage and the body.
3. Apostolic witness — perseverance in the Gospel handed down by the witnesses of Christ. It protects against private "revelations" that improve the Gospel, dependence on a single charismatic teacher, and an elitist knowledge inaccessible to the community.
4. A good conscience — truth received with a readiness for moral conversion. It protects against constructing a theology that justifies one's own passions.
5. Prayer in the Spirit — not merely intellectual analysis, but a living relationship with God. It protects against intellectual pride and the reduction of faith to ideology.
6. Love — the most important fruit of healthy teaching. It protects against using orthodoxy as an instrument of humiliation and domination.
7. Community and office — mature superiors capable of teaching and subject to moral criteria. They protect against self-appointed teachers and uncontrolled spiritual authority.
8. Sobriety — the ability to recognize the attractiveness of error without immediately succumbing to it. It protects against fascination with novelty, sensation, and absolute answers.

Taken together, these dimensions indicate that the purity of faith is rather a dynamic stance and a living practice than a static possession of correct theses.

1.35 33. What must not be deduced from these texts?

The letters do not justify easy conclusions or simplifications. One must remember that:

- Not every error is heresy. It is possible to err in the interpretation of a secondary text without departing from Christ.
- Not every heretic is possessed. Spiritual deception is not identical with possession; possession is a particular form of intrusion into the functioning of a person, whereas erroneous teaching operates primarily through reason, will, desires, and social relations.
- Not every critique of the Church comes from the devil. Prophetic admonition can serve the truth; ecclesiastical office itself is subject to the judgment of the Gospel.
- A correct formula does not guarantee spiritual purity. One can defend orthodox statements from motives of pride, envy, fear, desire for power, or hatred of an opponent — James would call such "wisdom" demonic, even when it uses religious vocabulary.
- The label "demonic" cannot replace an argument. New Testament authors do not limit themselves to naming an error demonic; they show its content, false assumptions, and destructive fruits.

1.36 34. Proper pastoral practice

The New Testament letters present diverse modes of responding, appropriate to the degree of threat and the situation of the erring person. Pastoral practice can be framed as a situation — response pair:

- a person erring unknowingly — patient teaching;
- an opponent entangled in snares — gentle correction and prayer for conversion;
- a threatened community — clear warning;
- a teacher exploiting others — removal of platform;
- persistent propagation of division — admonition, then suspension;
- open blasphemy destroying the community — ecclesial discipline;
- a person wavering — mercy;
- a person deeply entangled — rescue combined with prudence.

There is no single method suitable for all cases. Purity of faith requires both firmness and mercy; correction should be truthful, patient, and constantly oriented toward the conversion and renewal of the person in communion with God and brothers.

1.37 Final synthesis

The analysis of the Pastoral Epistles and the Catholic Epistles makes it possible to grasp stable motifs and practical indications concerning the struggle for a healthy faith: false teaching has both spiritual and human sources; it operates through intellectual instruments that transform moral and communal life; its recognition and repair require a faithful Christology, fidelity to creation, perseverance in apostolic testimony, a good conscience, prayer in the Spirit, love, an appropriate communal structure, and spiritual sobriety. The defense of the truth cannot be reduced to mere rhetorical polemics or to labeling the opponent; it must combine a clearly formulated defense of the faith with patient correction and a merciful effort toward conversion.

1.38 Demonology in an integrated perspective: Christology, ethics, and ecclesiology

The Pastoral Epistles and the Catholic Epistles teach us that demonology is not an isolated field of theology nor a phenomenon limited to spectacular manifestations. In these writings the deceiving spirit permeates the whole field of Christology, ethics, and ecclesiology: false teaching does not remain merely an intellectual problem, but can shape the image of God, conscience, desires, relationships, and decisions of the faithful. The analysis of these texts therefore requires treating heresy both as a doctrinal problem and as a real spiritual and ontological threat within the community of the Church.

1.39 Subtle modes of operation of the deceiving spirit

The deceiving spirit does not always need extraordinary signs to achieve its goal. The letters show that it can operate exceptionally subtly, exploiting deformations of thought and religious practice. Among the most frequently mentioned modes are:

- a theology that despises creation;
- a false promise of freedom;
- religious rigorism;
- a distortion of the understanding of grace;
- the rejection of the mystery of the Incarnation;
- the pride of the teacher;
- greed hidden behind piety;
- a dispute that becomes more important than the search for truth;
- orthodoxy detached from love.

All these forms of error may have both spiritual causes and fully human ones: educational, moral, psychological, or socio-economic. One must therefore recognize that the struggle against heresy requires attention to the intellect and faith, but also to conscience and the concrete practices of communal life.

1.40 Heresy as an enslaving reality

Heresy becomes a significant spiritual enslavement when error ceases to be merely an untrue judgment and begins to organize an entire person's life. When false teaching shapes the image of God, forms conscience, orders desires, and determines relationships and decisions — then it transforms into a structure of enslavement. The Pastoral and Catholic Epistles display this process, while also indicating the necessity of distinction: sin and error have concrete human causes, yet can also become a field of operation for the spirit of the adversary.

1.41 The Church's response: correction, not demonization

The Church's response to heresy does not consist in demonizing those who err. Apostolic literature, including the indication from 2 Tm 2,25–26, reminds us that a person caught in the snares of the devil may receive from God the grace of conversion, come to know the truth, recover soundness of mind and freedom. In pastoral practice and in ecclesiastical discipline the consequence of this perspective must be correction, which:

- remains truthful — commits to a clear and faithful presentation of the truth;
- is patient — recognizes the necessity of time and process in the transformation of conscience;

- is oriented toward conversion — seeks the restoration of the person and the community to life in truth and love.

Such an attitude combines the demand for truth with mercy and hope for renewal; it rejects the temptation of simplified stigmatization that turns pastoral correction into a verdict without hope of redemption.

1.42 Purity of faith as integrated protection

The most balanced formulation of the thesis contained in the analyzed letters runs: the purity of faith protects against demonic influence not because it is merely intellectual infallibility, but because it roots a person in the true Christ. This purity teaches gratitude for creation, cleanses the conscience, orders desires, and builds a community of love. It is thus an integration of truth, life, and love. When doctrine is detached from a good conscience, humility, and love, even declared orthodoxy can be exploited by the logic of the adversary; where the truth is confessed in the Spirit, received with gratitude, and realized in love, the deceiving spirit loses its most important point of support.

The conclusion drawn from this perspective is the necessity of concern for the whole of Christian formation: theological, moral, and spiritual, carried out with truthfulness, patience, and an orientation toward genuine conversion.

1.43 Bibliography

1. Biblia Tysiąclecia, 5th ed., Poznań 2000.
2. I. H. Marshall, *The Pastoral Epistles*, London 1999.
3. P. H. Towner, *The Letters to Timothy and Titus*, Grand Rapids 2006.
4. J. D. G. Dunn, *The Theology of Paul the Apostle*, Grand Rapids 1998.
5. K. H. Jobes, *1 Peter*, Grand Rapids 2005.