Demonology in the Renaissance and Enlightenment

Between Doctrinal Continuity, the Debate on Magic, Judicial Practice, and Modern Critique

A Theological and Historical Study

Bp Arkadiusz Andała

Quis Ut Deus — Spiritual Liberation and Growth

English edition

1 Demonology in the Period of the Renaissance and the Enlightenment

1.1 Between Doctrinal Continuity, the Dispute Over Magic, Judicial Practice, and Modern Critique

1.1.1 Abstract

The demonology of the Renaissance and the Enlightenment cannot be described as a simple history of transition from "belief in demons" to its complete disappearance. From the 15th to the 18th century, European theologians, humanists, preachers, jurists, physicians, and philosophers addressed questions concerning the nature of evil spirits, the manner of their influence, the possibility of magic, the credibility of testimony, the scope of providence, and the limits of human cognition. At the same time, both systematic demonological treatises and works critical of witch persecutions developed concurrently. The Catholic Reformation and the Reformation transformed pastoral language, while natural philosophy and new legal practices altered the criteria for explaining extraordinary phenomena.

This study outlines the principal trajectories of this evolution. Particular attention is devoted to distinguishing normative Christian doctrine concerning creation, sin, temptation, and providence from Renaissance systems of natural and ritual magic, from the social history of witch trials, and from Enlightenment critiques of superstition. From a theological perspective, the steadfast point remains the confession that personal evil is not a principle equal to God: the demon remains a creature, and its activity neither abrogates divine sovereignty nor human responsibility. From a historical perspective, however, it is necessary to recognize that some past recognitions were entangled in imperfect evidentiary procedures, confessional conflicts, social fears, and the limitations of contemporary medical knowledge. [1] [2]

> **Thesis of the Study:** The Renaissance and the Enlightenment did not eliminate the question of spiritual evil, but rather compelled a deeper differentiation between doctrine, religious experience, magical practices, biblical interpretation, legal argument, and the natural explanation of phenomena.

1.2 1. The Scope of the Concept of "Demonology" and the Method of Analysis

The word "demonology" signifies here not a single discipline in today's sense, but rather a set of questions posed by several fields. Theology inquired into the nature of fallen angels, their relationship to God, humanity, and the world. Exegesis examined biblical texts concerning Satan, demons, temptation, possession, and Christ's victory. Moral theology evaluated idolatry, divination, conjuring, and turning to spiritual entities outside of God. Law sought to determine what could constitute evidence of a crime, while medicine and natural philosophy proposed natural explanations for states sometimes deemed extraordinary. Therefore, demonology must not be equated with the history of witch trials or with the entirety of popular beliefs.

Contemporary research emphasizes the multi-layered character of early modern culture. Renaissance reflection on angels and demons appeared in theology, medicine, cosmology, art, and literature. Alongside the continuation of scholasticism, new readings of hermetism, neoplatonism, and kabbalah emerged; however, their authors did not form a uniform "front," and their claims met with very diverse Catholic

and Protestant evaluations. [1] Therefore, one must distinguish the historical description of such projects from their theological validation.

Sphere	Guiding Question	Risk of Simplification	Theological Criterion
Doctrinal	Who are demons and what are the limits of their action?	Dualism, as if evil were a second god	Creation, fall, providence, and Christ's victory
Moral	How does temptation differ from the conscious seeking of power outside of God?	Transferring all blame onto demons	Freedom, conversion, and personal responsibility
Pastoral	How to accompany suffering, fear, and a crisis of faith?	Sensationalism or the denial of suffering	Discernment, prayer, sacraments, and specialized help
Historical-legal	How were accusations of witchcraft understood?	Equating a verdict with theological truth	Justice, criticism of torture, and the value of credible evidence
Philosophical-natural	When should natural causes be sought?	False dichotomy: science versus faith	Distinction of the orders of knowledge

The method adopted in this study is both historical and theological. Historical, because one must not attribute a single common position to the Renaissance, the Reformation, the Catholic Reformation, and the Enlightenment. Theological, because one must inquire into the conformity of given approaches with Christian monotheism, christology, the doctrine of grace, and human dignity. A responsible approach consists neither in romanticizing past fears nor in a derisive rejection of the entire tradition. It consists in reading its disputes, distinctions, and corrections.

1.3 2. The Heritage of the Late Middle Ages and the New Questions of the Renaissance

At the threshold of the Renaissance, Western Europe inherited an elaborate medieval angelology and demonology. The Augustinian vision of creation protected against Manichaean dualism: evil possesses neither its own substance nor its own act of creation; it is the privation of good in the free will of creatures. Scholasticism, especially Thomistic scholasticism, developed this principle with regard to the nature of angels, their cognition, free choice, temptation, and limited action in the material world. This framework did not disappear in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries; it remained important for Catholic and many Protestant authors.

The Renaissance, however, changed the field of discourse. Humanism restored access to numerous Greek, late antique, and Jewish texts. Translations and new editions disseminated the works of Plato, Plotinus, Proclus, the *Corpus Hermeticum*, texts attributed to Iamblichus, and Kabbalistic traditions. These readings

introduced the language of intermediary spirits, cosmic influences, world harmony, and the hidden properties of nature. The mere fact of interest in this material did not yet imply an affirmation of the ritual invocation of spirits, but it created a new tension: how to study nature and its signs without crossing the boundary of religious worship due to God alone? [1]

Marsilio Ficino attempted to integrate Platonism with the Christian worldview. Mediation, harmony, and the spiritual dimension of the cosmos occupied an important place in his reflection. However, one cannot automatically deduce from this perspective the legitimation of practices prohibited by Christian morality. Historical analysis requires caution: the concepts of *spiritus*, "influence", or "sympathy" had different scopes in Renaissance natural philosophy than the modern word "demon". Theologically decisive was the question of whether a given practice presupposed obedience to God or sought control over hidden powers independently of Him.

Giovanni Pico della Mirandola, Johannes Reuchlin, Johannes Trithemius, Heinrich Cornelius Agrippa, and other authors developed various forms of interest in language, number, symbolism, astrology, Kabbalah, or "magic". Research on the Renaissance indicates that precisely in this sphere the boundary between philosophy, religion, pious practice, experiment, and the claim to contact with spiritual entities was frequently a subject of dispute. [1] Ecclesiastical caution toward the invocation of spirits did not stem from an aversion to knowledge as such, but from the recognition that man is not the master of the spiritual world. The attempt to use spiritual beings as instruments contradicts the act of faith, which renders worship to the Creator rather than to creatures.

In this sense, the Renaissance did not so much "disenchant" the world as diversify its images. For some authors, the universe was full of signs and mediations; for some reformers, it became particularly urgent to cleanse faith of practices judged superstitious; for humanists, the study of languages, sources, and contexts gained critical importance. This diversification is significant for theology: the conviction that a spiritual world exists does not justify every interpretation of experience nor every practice that appeals to "secret knowledge".

1.4 3. Magic, Superstition, and the Boundaries of Religious Practice

In the texts of the period, distinctions between natural magic, astrology, alchemy, medicine, incantation, and demonological ritual do not always correspond to modern categories. Early modern authors themselves debated whether a given action utilized the natural properties of things or constituted an illicit invocation of spiritual powers. Precisely for this reason, it is impossible to honestly describe all these practices with the single word "occultism."

In the Christian tradition, the fundamental boundary was religious and moral. Man may investigate creation, develop the arts, and heal, but he should not indulge in practices that attribute efficacy to anonymous powers or seek contact with spirits to gain advantage, knowledge, or power. In classical theological language, the problem was not intellectual curiosity itself, but the disordered desire for dominion and the attempt to bypass trust in God. This distinction retains its value today, when the language of "energy" or "spirituality" can mask the promise of control over the lives of others.

The Catholic Reformation following the Council of Trent reinforced catechesis, sacramental discipline, and the formation of the clergy. In many environments, this was accompanied by a heightened concern regarding superstition, the abuse of sacramentals, divinatory practices, and alleged magic. The research of Rita Voltmer shows that the discourse on demons and witchcraft intertwined with confessional polemics,

internal ecclesiastical reform, conflicts over authority, and actual judicial practice. [2] Therefore, local decisions must not be presented as the simple implementation of a single doctrine or as pure "irrationality." From a moral perspective, the protection of the sacraments against instrumentalization was particularly important. A sacrament is not a magical formula, and prayer is not a technique that forces a result. When religious signs begin to be treated as means of control, a deformation of faith occurs. At this point, the Catholic critique of superstition and the critique of magical practices share a common core: both defend the freedom of God and the personal response of man.

> **Fundamental Distinction:** faith asks of God and accepts His will; magic attempts to dispose of the effect. Prayer is a relationship; spiritual manipulation treats the relationship like a mechanism.

1.5 4. The Reformation: Temptation, the Word, and Polemics Concerning the Spiritual World

The Reformation did not produce a uniform demonology, but it shifted theological and pastoral emphases. Martin Luther wrote about the devil, temptation, and the battle of faith in an intense manner rooted in the reading of Scripture. In his language, the fundamental danger consisted in deception, accusation, and despair, rather than in the creation of an autonomous world by demons. At the same time, confessional polemics could impart a sharp and political dimension to demonic language: a religious opponent was sometimes described in categories of the Antichrist. Bruce Gordon's exposition rightly reminds us that one should not directly transfer today's understanding of metaphor to sixteenth-century language; for many authors of the era, the spiritual world was a real interpretive horizon. [3]

Calvin, the Puritans, and Reformed authors also strongly emphasized God's providence, sin, and the need for vigilance. In some Protestant circles, the experience of temptation could be interpreted as a test of faith, yet such language required pastoral prudence. It should not serve to glorify suffering, burden the conscience, or cast suspicion on a person experiencing a crisis. The Christian teaching on temptation does not abolish compassion for the human being nor the obligation to seek natural causes of suffering.

A particularly important point of contention was the issue of purgatory and appearances of the dead. The rejection of purgatory in Protestant theology led some authors to interpret alleged spirits of the dead as deceptive demonic manifestations. The Catholic tradition, while retaining prayer for the dead, did not uncritically accept every vision or extraordinary account; discernment always required examining conformity with the faith, moral fruits, and attitude toward the Church. [3] In both currents, a principle that remains valid can be discerned: private experience does not possess in itself the power to establish doctrine.

Confessional differences also influenced the practice of spiritual protection. In Catholicism, liturgical forms of blessing and exorcism retained greater significance; in Protestantism, prayer, fasting, the reading of Scripture, preaching, and community discipline were often emphasized. These differences should not obscure the common Christian thesis: the demon is not equal to God, and the center of the believer's response is the grace of Christ, not fascination with the adversary.

1.6 5. Demonology and Witch Trials: A Necessary Distinction

One of the most serious difficulties in studying this era is the identification of the doctrine of demons with the history of witchcraft persecution. These two fields remain connected, yet they are not identical.

Demonology provided concepts concerning temptation, pacts, delusion, and the power of evil spirits. Judicial proceedings, on the other hand, required ruling on specific individuals, facts, evidence, testimonies, and punishment. Between theology and judicial sentence, therefore, there existed a long and fallible chain of interpretation.

In the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, treatises emerged that fused ancient motifs of heresy, ritual magic, popular accusations of maleficium, and conceptions of the witches' Sabbath. The *Malleus maleficarum* became an important, though not the sole, point of reference. Jean Bodin in *De la démonomanie des sorciers* defended a severe approach, while Martin del Río in *Disquisitiones magicae* created an influential demonological-legal handbook. Their influence cannot be understood without the context of the Reformation, the Counter-Reformation, the fear of heresy, and local judicial systems. [2] [4]

The tragedy of witchcraft trials demonstrates that religious language can be exploited without sufficient epistemic and legal distinction. Coerced testimonies, social pressure, the pursuit of confirming a pre-accepted thesis, and the dissemination of accusations through a chain of denunciations do not lead to the truth. The theological possibility of the existence of spiritual evil is not proof of the guilt of a specific human person. Even less can it justify torture, the violation of the presumption of innocence, or the ignoring of natural explanations.

It is worth noting that the critique of trials did not always imply a simple negation of the existence of demons. One could accept traditional teaching concerning the spiritual world while simultaneously rejecting flawed judicial procedures. Friedrich Spee, a Jesuit and the author of *Cautio Criminalis* from 1631, criticized the mechanisms of trials and the use of torture. In Voltmer's research, he appears as an author utilizing cases of accusations against clergy to demonstrate the self-reinforcing nature of accusations. [2] This is an important theological lesson: the truth of faith does not exempt one from the requirement of justice, and concern for the salvation of souls cannot be an excuse for wrongdoing.

Author or Tradition	Principal Emphasis	What Requires Critical Correction?
Malleus maleficarum and part of trial literature	The connection between witchcraft, heresy, and demonic activity	Generalizations, stereotypes, insufficient critique of testimonies
Jean Bodin	Protection of the religious and social order through severe repression	Excessive trust in accusations and the logic of suspicion
Martin del Río	Systematization of the problems of magic, pacts, and law	The combination of theology with practice, which was at times dependent on imperfect procedures
Friedrich Spee	Critique of torture and the spiral of accusations	An example of theological responsibility in the defense of justice

Author or Tradition	Principal Emphasis	What Requires Critical Correction?
Johann Weyer	Prudence, medical and natural explanations, critique of persecutions	The epoch's language concerning weak and ill persons requires dignity-based correction today

1.7 6. Johann Weyer, Reginald Scot, and the Birth of Evidentiary Critique

Johann Weyer, a 16th-century physician, occupies a special place in history. His 1563 *De praestigiis daemonum* is neither a manifesto of modern atheism nor a simple denial of demons. Weyer remained a man of his era and accepted the possibility of demonic deception. At the same time, he firmly opposed identifying individuals considered weak, ill, or prone to melancholy with dangerous perpetrators of extraordinary harm. His argumentation introduces the requirement to consider natural causes as well as opposition to judicial cruelty. [5]

Weyer is valuable to theology not because he provides ready-made modern psychopathology, but because he refuses a simple transition from unusual behavior to guilt. He distinguishes between the levels of temptation, illusion, illness, imagination, and action. In this way, he reminds us that a person in crisis should not become the object of suspicion or violence. Today's obligation for medical and psychological consultation in serious situations is not a negation of pastoral care; rather, it is a development of prudence, the absence of which could lead to injustice in the past.

Reginald Scot pushed criticism further, challenging the possibility of attributing supernatural power to witches. According to Robin Attfield's reconstruction, Scot criticized not only the misidentification of alleged witches, but the very idea that they could exercise power over nature through a pact with the devil; the exception being real crimes, such as poisoning, which require ordinary legal accountability. [6] The difference between Weyer and Scot shows that the critique of persecutions developed along several paths: medical, legal, philosophical, and biblical.

However, Weyer and Scot should not be presented as lonely precursors of Enlightenment rationalism who "overthrew" religion from the outside. Their writings appealed to morality, law, the reading of Scripture, and concern for the innocent. The argument against wrongful accusation can grow out of faith in a just God itself. In this sense, the critique of demonological abuse is not necessarily secularization; it can be a return to the theological requirement that a person not be condemned without truth and without mercy.

1.8 7. Natural Philosophy, World Regularity, and the Problem of Miracles

In the 17th century, the development of mechanism, empiricism, and new experimental practices transformed the language used to inquire about extraordinary phenomena. It was increasingly assumed that the world possesses a regular order, and events previously unexplained might have natural causes that were not yet understood. This did not automatically imply the decline of belief in God, miracles, or spirits. Many men of science remained theists, and many defenders of the new philosophy continued to accept the existence of angels and demons. [6]

Teologically, the distinction between the regularity of creation and the autonomy of creation before God is crucial here. Belief in providence does not require multiplying direct spiritual interventions where possible natural causes exist. On the contrary, acknowledging the order of nature can be a form of respect for the Creator's wisdom. A miracle is not a "gap" in human knowledge, and a demon should not serve as a substitute explanation for everything we do not yet understand.

In early modern debates, a particularly significant role was played by the question of whether the devil can transcend the laws of nature. Traditional Christianity rejected the view that a demon possesses creative power or is an independent ruler of matter. Early modern preachers and theologians often viewed his activity primarily in terms of deception, temptation, and the exploitation of the imagination and senses. In Bruce Gordon's synthetic account, the devil remains a creature acting through deception rather than a second god capable of overriding the divine order. [3]

This distinction helps avoid two extremes. The first is naïve supernaturalism, which attributes every suffering and every obscure event to the evil spirit. The second is reductionism, which assumes a priori that spiritual language has no theological content. Christian prudence simultaneously inquires into biological, psychological, social, and moral causes, while retaining the belief that man is a person open to God and susceptible to temptation.

1.9 8. Balthasar Bekker and the Critique of the "Enchanted World"

Balthasar Bekker, a Reformed clergyman and author of *De betoverde weereld* (*The World Bewitched*, 1691–1694), became one of the most important figures in the transition toward the Enlightenment critique of demonology. His significance does not lie merely in the rejection of specific witchcraft narratives. Bekker addressed a hermeneutical problem: how should Scripture be read when biblical texts speak of spirits, temptation, and the activity of Satan? In doing so, he combined biblical argument with the Cartesian conviction regarding the regularity of nature. [6]

Bekker did not believe that questioning the popular attribution of physical effects to the devil required denying God as Creator. This is precisely what is significant for mature theological reflection: the critique of superstition does not have to be a critique of faith. Attfield demonstrates that Bekker attempted to show the consistency between theistic doctrine on creation and the rejection of the belief that witches and demons routinely disrupt the natural order. [6]

The reception of Bekker's critique was vehement. The author lost his office in the Reformed Church, and his work provoked widespread polemics. This controversy itself shows that the Enlightenment was not a unanimous movement rejecting demons. A dispute was underway concerning exegesis, ecclesiastical authority, natural philosophy, and the limits of reason. In Germany, these ideas were adopted and transformed by, among others, Christian Thomasius and pietist circles; elsewhere, more traditional beliefs persisted. [6]

Bekker also has his limitations. If his hermeneutics tends to exclude almost all real demonic agency, the question arises whether it weakens too severely the biblical language of spiritual warfare, temptation, and the saving victory of Christ. On the other hand, his opposition to unsupported accusations and the theatricalization of fear remains a valuable warning. Sound theology cannot rely on reinforcing harmful interpretations in the name of defending the faith.

1.10 9. The Enlightenment: Critique of Superstition, Exegesis, and the New Understanding of Reason

The Enlightenment is sometimes portrayed as an epoch in which religion was simply supplanted by reason. Such a picture is overly simplistic. It was rather a period of debate concerning the scope of reason, the nature of revelation, the credibility of testimony, the role of the Church, biblical history, and the competence of the natural sciences. Some deistic or skeptical authors challenged the traditional language of demonology more radically. Others, remaining within the framework of Christianity, demanded a more cautious exegesis and a more rigorous examination of facts.

From this perspective, a crucial shift occurred from the question "can an extraordinary story be told?" to the question "what conditions must be met for it to be considered credible?". This change had an impact on law, medicine, and pastoral practice. Increasing importance was attached to the examination of witnesses, the exclusion of fraud, the analysis of states of melancholy, sensory disorders, and the social dynamics of rumor. This did not always lead to worldview neutrality; it often arose from the conviction that God created a universe that is ordered and rationally cognizable.

In Britain and Germany, debates over witchcraft, ghosts, and possession intertwined with the development of print culture. Some authors, such as Joseph Glanvill, defended the reality of extraordinary phenomena, fearing that their denial would undermine religion; others, such as Bekker or later critics, countered that credulity compromises faith and leads to injustice. Attfield points out that membership in the circles of the new science did not determine one's stance toward demons: some men of science maintained traditional beliefs, while some theologians utilized natural philosophy to criticize superstition. [6]

Transformations also took place within Catholic Enlightenment circles. Research on the "Catholic Enlightenment" demonstrates that administrative, pastoral, and educational reforms could coexist with the preservation of belief in the spiritual world. The question of criteria became paramount: whether a given phenomenon possessed credible evidence, whether the practice conformed to liturgy and doctrine, and whether one was dealing with fraud, illness, or social pressure. [7] Consequently, it is incorrect to contrast "Catholicism" and "rationality" as hostile worlds.

1.11 10. Theological Synthesis: What Remains, What Requires Correction

The history of the Renaissance and the Enlightenment allows for the formulation of several enduring theses. First, Christian demonology only makes sense within christology. It is not concerned with an autonomous map of the powers of darkness, but rather with the drama of creation, sin, redemption, and hope. Satan is not God's adversary on equal terms; he is a creature that opposed the good. The Christian response does not consist in obsessively tracking his traces, but in faith, prayer, conversion, the sacraments, and love of neighbor.

Second, a distinction must be made between the experience of temptation and the allegation of possession or the accusation of magical practices. Every human being experiences weakness, anxiety, anger, despair, and inner division. Spiritual language can help name the moral dimension of these experiences, but it cannot replace medical, psychological, or social diagnosis. Renaissance and early modern controversies teach how high a price is paid for hasty conclusions.

Third, the defense of faith requires the defense of justice. In the face of an accusation of grave evil, fear, rumor, emotion, or a coerced confession are not sufficient. Critics of persecution, such as Weyer and Spee,

did not have to abandon theological language in order to demand better criteria. Their testimony reminds us that the love of truth and the love of neighbor are inseparable.

Fourth, the critique of superstition must not turn into contempt for faith. The Enlightenment concern for reason, sources, and proof can serve theology when it remains aware of its own limits. Theology, in turn, should not use the language of the extraordinary where patient research, treatment, dialogue, and support are needed. True discernment is humble: it acknowledges that man does not possess full insight either into nature or into the heart of another person.

1.12 11. Catholic Reform, Sacraments, and the Discipline of Discernment

In the post-Tridentine era, the question of demons was rooted not only in theoretical controversy, but also in the reform of ecclesiastical life. The Council of Trent did not formulate a new doctrine on demons in the sense of a systematic treatise, but its reception strengthened catechesis, the formation of the clergy, visitations, concern for the proper celebration of the liturgy, and the fight against religious abuses. In this perspective, the adversary of pastoral care was not exclusively the "external" demon, but also ignorance, the commercialization of piety, the instrumental use of religious signs, and the irresponsible practices of individuals who promised extraordinary assistance.

Post-Tridentine Catholic demonology preserved the fundamental structure of tradition: demons are fallen creatures, possess no creative power, and cannot nullify freedom or grace. Evil can deceive, tempt, sow disorder, and exploit the imagination and human passions; however, it is not an independent source of being. It is precisely the Christological framework that distinguishes Christian concern for discernment from religious fear of an anonymous energy of evil. Christ, rather than a technique of defense, remains at the center; the sacraments are signs of grace, not magical safeguards.

In historical practice, this principle was not always upheld. Research into the clergy, exorcisms, and trials demonstrates that the period of confessionalization was often a field of mutual accusations. Catholics, Lutherans, and the Reformed could portray the opposing side as subject to the devil; charges of witchcraft were sometimes linked to political conflict, social tension, and institutional rivalry. [2] Such history does not compromise the faith in the existence of spiritual evil itself, but warns that demonic language can become a tool for delegitimizing an opponent. Theology that seeks to be faithful to the Gospel must refuse such instrumentalization.

Of particular importance here is the relationship between exorcism and the ordinary life of faith. In the Catholic tradition, exorcism is not the primary response to every crisis. Prayer, the sacrament of reconciliation, the Eucharist, Scripture reading, spiritual direction, the rebuilding of relationships, and moral work on one's own life remain the primary spheres of assistance. When grounds for further discernment arise, the Church should act prudently and with respect for the person. Renaissance and early modern experiences teach that a lack of patience and a failure to investigate natural causes can lead to harm.

This principle also has an ecclesial dimension. Discernment should not be a private spectacle or a way of building authority through the multiplication of tales about the extraordinary. It is a ministry rooted in the prayer of the Church, requiring humility, discretion, and responsibility. In the contemporary context, it also encompasses cooperation with medicine and psychology. Recognizing the competence of specialists

does not weaken theology; it helps protect the individual from falsely attributing their suffering to a single cause.

1.13 12. From "Diabolical Proof" to the Critique of Testimony

The profound transformation taking place from the 17th century onward concerned not only the content of faith, but also the manner of arriving at convictions. In past trials, rumors, conformity to stereotypes, accounts of dreams, bodily marks, confessions extracted under pressure, and accusations pointing to further alleged perpetrators could pass for "proof." Such logic led to a vicious circle: the more people were accused, the more new names were obtained, which was taken as confirmation of the existence of a conspiracy.

Friedrich Spee recognized this mechanism from within. He did not have to resolve all metaphysical questions concerning the nature of the devil in order to demonstrate the injustice of the procedure. Torture is not a neutral instrument for extracting truth: under its influence, a person may say what the interrogator expects, name arbitrary individuals, and destroy others simply to bring a temporary end to suffering. The theological significance of this observation is immense. The protection of revealed truth cannot be built upon a method that destroys human veracity.

Weyer and later critics pushed the question even further. Does the fact that someone experiences anxiety, hears voices, suffers from insomnia, melancholy, or social unrest allow for an immediate accusation? The answer must be no. Early modern medicine operated with concepts that have since been replaced by others, yet its best intuitions pointed to the necessity of differentiation. Not every suffering is guilt; not every unusual behavior is a sign of witchcraft; not every religious fear should be validated by ecclesiastical authority.

The Enlightenment gave this intuition a more general form. Credible testimony requires the consistency of accounts, the independence of witnesses, the possibility of verification, and proportion between claim and proof. Religious truth is not identical to scientific procedure, but in matters concerning harm done to a specific person, faith and probity must not be pitted against each other. Christian truth is personal and moral; therefore, it demands respect for the facts, the name, the good reputation, and the life of another human being.

Early Mechanism of Risk	Why Was It Flawed?	Contemporary Response of Prudence
Rumor or stereotype	Reinforced class, gender, and local prejudices	Source verification and avoidance of labels
Confession extracted through suffering	Compromises the freedom and credibility of statements	Absolute rejection of violence and coercion
Private vision as public proof	Is neither an autonomous norm of faith nor of law	Discerning judgment and the absence of automatic conclusions
Lack of medical knowledge	Fostered erroneous interpretations of suffering	Specialized consultation and care for health

Early Mechanism of Risk	Why Was It Flawed?	Contemporary Response of Prudence
Religious or political conflict	Demonized the opponent	Distinction between dispute and judgment of a person

1.14 13. Catholic Enlightenment and the Persistence of the Question of Evil

The development of Enlightenment critique was not limited to anti-church circles. In many Catholic states and dioceses, educational, pastoral, and administrative reforms reinforced the need to organize religious practices. Questions were raised about the authenticity of miracles, the reliability of accounts of visions, the proper performance of exorcisms, and the boundaries of popular piety. This was not merely a program of 'eliminating' the supernatural, but rather a striving to distinguish faith from superstition, the sacrament from a magical procedure, and pastoral care from manipulation.

In Central Europe, including Catholic territories, Enlightenment transformations could lead to the restriction of trials, a more critical evaluation of accusations, and the increased importance of administrative control over religious practices. At the same time, regional differences were significant. It is impossible to speak of a uniform 'Catholic Enlightenment demonology,' just as there is no single Protestant model. Research into the history of religion points rather to a dialogue between local traditions, state reforms, new knowledge, and the enduring conviction of the faithful regarding the reality of the spiritual world. [7]

The most enduring question was: does the critique of abuses deprive faith of its seriousness? The history of Bekkerian polemics shows that the answer depends on how faith is understood. If faith requires accepting every tale of the extraordinary, critique will appear as an enemy. If, however, faith is trust in God revealed in Christ, it can accept critical inquiry as protection against falsehood. Such an attitude does not remove mystery, but refuses to identify mystery with unverified sensationalism.

From a pastoral perspective, the transition to the Enlightenment therefore leaves a twofold task. The first consists in preserving the Christian language concerning sin, temptation, freedom, and salvation. The second consists in rejecting practices that exploit religious fear to subjugate human beings. These two tasks are not contradictory. Together they express the conviction that God is truth and love, and man cannot be treated as evidentiary material for someone else's theory.

1.15 14. Conclusion

The demonology of the Renaissance and the Enlightenment is a history of tensions rather than a single sequence of triumphs or defeats. In the Renaissance, the elaborate scholastic tradition encountered humanism, hermetism, Kabbalah, and the new natural philosophy. The Reformation and the Catholic Reformation transformed the language of temptation, providence, spirits, and pastoral practice. Witch trials revealed how doctrinal concepts could become intertwined with fragile evidentiary mechanisms and social anxiety. Weyer, Scot, and Spee demonstrated that internal critique was possible, while Bekker and Enlightenment authors raised the question of the relationship between Scripture, reason, and the order of nature.

The most important lesson remains Christian in the deepest sense: neither the demonization of humanity nor the trivialization of evil leads to the truth. Humanity needs grace, conversion, intellectual honesty, and a community that does not prey on its fear. From the perspective of faith, evil is neither an illusion nor an ultimate reality. The final word belongs to God, who in Christ overcomes sin, death, and every power separating humanity from love.

1.16 Bibliography

1.16.1 Primary Sources

1. Agrippa, Heinrich Cornelius. *De occulta philosophia libri tres*. Cologne, 1533.
2. Bekker, Balthasar. *De betoverde weereld* [*The World Bewitched*]. Amsterdam, 1691–1694.
3. Bodin, Jean. *De la démonomanie des sorciers*. Paris, 1580.
4. Del Río, Martín. *Disquisitiones magicae*. Louvain, 1599–1600.
5. Luther, Martin. *Der kleine Catechismus*. Marburg, 1529.
6. Scot, Reginald. *The Discoverie of Witchcraft*. London, 1584.
7. Spee, Friedrich. *Cautio Criminalis*. Rinteln, 1631.
8. Weyer, Johann. *De praestigiis daemonum*. Basel, 1563.

1.16.2 Secondary Sources

[1]: Mattia Geretto, “Angels and Demons in the Renaissance”, *Encyclopedia of Renaissance Philosophy* (2019), https://link.springer.com/rwe/10.1007/978-3-319-02848-4_988-1 [2]: Rita Voltmer, “Debating the Devil’s Clergy. Demonology and the Media in Dialogue with Trials (14th to 17th Century)”, *Religions* 10, no. 12 (2019), https://doi.org/10.3390/rel10120648 [3]: Bruce Gordon, “Devil, Ghosts and the Revenant”, *History of Early Modern Christianity: Reformation to Enlightenment*, Yale Divinity School, lecture 17, 2021, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Bcmbl4vaWD4 [4]: Jan Machielsen, *The Science of Demons: Early Modern Authors Facing Witchcraft and the Devil*. Routledge, 2020. [5]: Johann Weyer, *De praestigiis daemonum*, 1563; see also the source overview in [4]. [6]: Robin Attfield, “Balthasar Bekker and the Decline of the Witch-Craze: The Old Demonology and the New Philosophy”, *Annals of Science* 42 (1985), https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/49596/1/Annals%20of%20Science_Balthasar%20Bekker%20and%20Decline%20of%20Witch%20Craze.pdf [7]: David Bradnick, “The Demonic According to the Early and Classical Modern Eras”, in: *Evil, Spirits, and Possession*, Brill, https://brill.com/display/book/9789004350618/B9789004350618_003.xml

2 Glossary of Key Terms

Demonology: Theological reflection on the nature, limits of action, and discernment of evil spirits.

Discernment: Prudent examination of experiences in light of faith, reason, moral fruits, and natural causes.

Evidence: Ground for rationally accepting a fact; in matters involving a person, it requires special rigor and protection of dignity.

Exorcism: The prayer of the Church exercised within its ministry and prudent discernment.

Magic: A practice seeking command over hidden powers or effects outside a relationship of faith and trust in God.

Providence: God's guidance and sustaining of creation, which does not abolish human freedom and responsibility.

Superstition: A distortion of religious attitude that attributes automatic power to signs or actions.

Temptation: An inducement toward evil whose acceptance is not necessary and requires a personal act of the will.